Estimated GFR Based on Creatinine and Cystatin C

Lesley A Stevens, MD, MS

Tufts Medical Center, Tufts University School of Medicine Boston MA

Chronic Kidney Disease-Epidemiology Collaboration

UO1 DK 053869, UO1 DK 067651 and UO1 DK 35073.

Background

- GFR is essential to detection, management, and evaluation of CKD
- GFR is difficult to measure and is usually estimated from serum markers
- GFR estimates are used to:
 - Estimate measured GFR
 - Predict risk for adverse outcomes
- Interpretation of GFR estimates depends upon properties of the equations and the filtration markers

- Physiology of endogenous filtration markers
- Creatinine
 - Physiology
 - MDRD Study equation
 - CKD-EPI equation
- Cystatin C
 - Physiology
 - CKD-EPI equations
 - Predictors of serum levels

Physiology of Endogenous Filtration Markers



Creatinine Physiology



The MDRD Study equation

- MDRD Study equation
 - Derived from 1628 participants with predominantly non-diabetic CKD (mean GFR 40 ml/min/1.73 m²)
 - Age, sex and race as surrogates for non-GFR determinants
- Reasonable accuracy in CKD populations
- Systematic bias (underestimation) of measured GFR at higher levels
- Imprecision throughout the GFR range

The MDRD Study equation

- Predicts higher risk for adverse outcomes at lower eGFR
- Paradoxical higher risk observed in people at higher eGFR



Chronic Kidney Disease-Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI)

• **Goal:** Develop and validate improved estimating equations

 Diverse dataset of individuals with & without kidney disease, and across range of measured GFR and age
Additional surrogates for non-GFR determinants

 Inclusion criteria: study population >250; availability of serum samples; quality control data

Final studies

- Category 1: 10 studies; equation development (random selection of 2/3 of data) and internal validation (remaining 1/3 of data)
- -Category 2: 16 studies; external validation

Levey et al <u>Ann Int Med</u> 2009; 150: 604 612

Clinical Characteristics of CKD-EPI Datasets

| | Category 1 (10 studies) Development and Internal Validation | Category 2 (16 studies) <i>External</i> <i>validation</i> |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Ν | 8254 | 3896 |
| GFR (mL/min/1.73 m ²) | 67 (40) | 68 (36) |
| Diagnosed CKD, N (%) | 6004 (73) | 2143 (55) |
| Age (years) N, (SD) | 47 (15) | 50 (15) |
| Female, N (%) | 3606 (44) | 1753 (45) |
| Black, N (%) | 2602 (32) | 384 (10) |
| Diabetes, N (%) | 2406 (29) | 1091 (28) |
| Transplant recipient, N (%) | 360 (4) | 1130 (29) |
| BMI (kg/m²) N (SD) | 28 (6) | 27 (6) |

Levey et al <u>Ann Int Med</u> 2009; 150: 604 612

GFR = 141 x [min(Scr/κ),1)^α x max(Scr/κ),1)^{-1.209}] x Age^{-0.993} x 1.018 [if female] x [1.157 if Black]

 α is 0.329 for females and 0.411 for males; min indicates minimum of Scr/ κ or 1, and max indicates maximum of Scr/ κ or 1

| Female | ≤0.7 → | GFR = 144 x (Scr/0.7) ^{-0.329} | | |
|--------|---------|---|-------------------------|------------|
| | >0.7 > | GFR = 144 x (Scr/0.7) ^{-1.209} | x Age ^{-0.993} | x 1.157 |
| Male | ≤ 0.9 → | GFR = 141 x (Scr/0.9) ^{-0.411} | | [if black] |
| | >0.9 > | GFR = 141 x (Scr/0.9) ^{-1.209} | | |

Levey et al Ann Int Med 2009; 150: 604 612

Comparison of the Performance of the MDRD Study and CKD-EPI equations (Validation dataset)



Comparison of distribution of estimated GFR for MDRD Study and CKD-EPI equations (NHANES 1999-2004)

Values are plotted at the midpoint.

Levey et al <u>Ann Int Med</u> 2009; 150: 604 612

Cystatin C and the Risk of Death and Cardiovascular Events among Elderly Persons

Figure 1. Mortality from All Causes According to Quintile of Measures of Renal Function.

Shlipak et al. *N Engl J Med* 2005;352:2049-60

Relationship of Plasma Level and GFR for Cystatin C

| Age, mean (SD), years | 52.0 (13.2) |
|--|-------------|
| Female, N (%) | 1006 (32.1) |
| Black, N (%) | 1677 (53.5) |
| Diabetes, N (%) | 436 (13.9) |
| Transplant, N (%) | 0 |
| BMI, mean (SD), kg/m ² | 28.7 (6.1) |
| GFR, mean (SD), ml/min/1.73 m ² | 48.7 (25.7) |
| Standardized Scr, mean (SD), mg/dl | 2.0 (1.0) |
| Cystatin C, mean (SD) mg/l | 1.8 (0.8) |

Stevens LA, et al. Am J Kidney Dis. 2008;51:395-406

| Equation | Δ | | P ₃₀ |
|-------------------------------|--------|------|-----------------|
| | Median | IQR | |
| Creatinine age, sex and race* | 0.1 | 10.8 | 85 |
| Cystatin alone | 0.2 | 11.7 | 81 |
| Cystatin age, sex and race | 0 | 11.2 | 83 |
| Both age, sex and race | 0.1 | 9.2 | 89 |

 Δ =mGFR-eGFR. Positive value indicates underestimate IQR, interquartile range P_{30,} percentage of esteimates within 30% of measured GFR

*Refit MDRD Study equation

Stevens LA, et al. Am J Kidney Dis. 2008;51:395-406

Non-GFR Determinants of Cystatin C vs Creatinine in patients with CKD

Summary

- All endogenous filtration markers have non-GFR determinants that affects interpretation of their accuracy as well as prediction of risk
- The CKD-EPI equation is more accurate than the MDRD Study equation
 - Less bias at eGFR >60
 - Similar performance at eGFR <60
 - Imprecision remains
- Cystatin C based estimates
 - Provide similar or less accurate estimates of measured GFR in populations with CKD
 - Non-GFR determinants are not well understood but may explain some of the improved risk prediction