CKD-MBD Controversies Conference

Bone Biopsy Study

Ezequiel Bellorin-Font, MD Division of Nephrology University Hospital of Caracas, Caracas, Venezuela







Disclosure of Interests

Sanofi: Honoraria for lectures

Abbott Laboratories: Honoraria for lectures.







KDIGO-Controversies Conference 2005

Definition, Evaluation, and Classification of Renal osteodystrophy: a position statement from KDIGO

CKD-MBD: A systemic disorder which include one or more elements of abnormal bone and mineral metabolism as follows:

- Laboratory-abnormalities of calcium, phosphorus, PTH, or vitamin D metabolism
- Abnormalities in bone turnover, mineralization, volume, linear growth, or strength, and quantifiable by histomorphometry of bone biopsy (Renal Osteodystrophy)
- Vascular or other tissue calcification

Moe S, et al. Kidney Int. 2006 Jun;69(11):1945-53



Bone abnormalities in CKD

- Bone abnormalities start early during the course of CKD and may progress with loss of kidney function
- The histologic abnormalities are heterogeneous, and patients may develop different lesions as CKD progresses
- Bone biochemical markers, single or in combination, have limitations for a complete assessment of renal osteodystrophy (ROD)
- Therefore, bone histomorphometric analysis remains the *gold standard* to diagnose the type of ROD in CKD





Classical description of histologic abnormalities in CKD based on bone turnover



Modified from Salusky et al.





Other potential factors affecting bone in CKD







KDIGO: TMV classification of ROD



Moe S, et al. Kidney Int. 2006 Jun;69(11):1945-53







TMV classification

Renal Osteodystrophy in the first Decade of the New Millennium: <u>Analysis of 630 Bone Biopsies in Black</u> and White Patients

H. Malluche, HW Mawad, and Marie-Claude Monier-Faugere J Bone and Miner Res 2011, 26: 1368-1376

Patients: 630 (USA: 316 and Europe: 314) Age \geq 18 years. Chronic maintenance HD for at least 6 months Black: 83 patients White: 543 pts.





Prevalence of low, normal, and high bone turnover in black and white patients with CKD 5D



No difference between gender, diabetics vs. non diabetics, and treatment with active vitamin D analogs



Malluche et al. J Bone Miner Res 2011; 26:1368-76



Clinical and biochemical characteristics in patients with and without mineralization defect

(Oth and MLT)								
Characteristics	Mineralization defect (n=21)	No mineralization defect (n=609)	<i>p</i> Value					
Age (years)	51±4	56±4	.168					
Males (%)	52.4	47.6	.668					
Dialysis vintage (months)	75±9	49±2	.005					
Diabetics (%)	14.3	21.4	.202					
Vitamin D treatment (%)	30.0	23.5	.502					
Phophate binders			.354					
Calcium-containing phosphate binders (%)	57.9	68.5						
Noncalcium, nonaluminum phosphate binders (%)	21.1	21.7						
No phosphate binder (%)	21.1	9.8						
Serum calcium level (mg/mL)	8.70±0.22	9.22 ± 0.04	.010					
Serum phosphorus level (mg/mL)	4.76±0.30	5.39 ± 0.07	.097					
Serum alkaline phosphatase level (UI/L)	206±30	148±7.61	.005					
Plasma parathyroid hormone level (pg/mL)	502±110	320 ± 15.5	.046					

None of the patients showed stainable aluminum or iron

Malluche et al. J Bone Miner Res 2011; 26:1368-76





Prevalence of low, normal, and high cancellous bone volume (BV) in black and white patients with CKD 5D



This study based on TMV calls the attention on the importance of race in the type of bone alteration in CKD, and suggests that this should be taken into account to decide treatment.

Malluche et al. J Bone Miner Res 2011; 26:1368-76





Microstructural parameters in patients with low and high bone turnover



High turnover:

Showed material and nanomechanical abnormalities such as reduced mineral to matrix ratio and lower stiffness.

Conclusion:

Turnover related alterations in bone quality may contribute to diminish mechanical competence of bone in CKD

palacio

neptunc

HUDNEY DISA TA

Malluche et al. J Am Soc Nephrol 2012, 23: 525-532

Bone classification system in pediatric renal osteodysthrophy

Patiens: 161 pediatric patients on CCPD (13 \pm 3 months) **Age**: 14.1 \pm 1.2 years (0.7-20 years) Bone biopsy between years 1990 and 2005

Turnover	Serum Ca mg/dl	Serum P mg/dl	Alk Phosph IU/L	PTH pg/ml
Low (n=7; 4.34%)	9.1 ± 0.6	8.2 ± 0.6 ^a	212 ± 40	163 ± 48
Normal (n=62; 38.5%)	9.3 ± 0.1	6.0 ± 0.2	214 ± 18	356 ± 36
High (n=92, 57.1%)	9.0 ± 0.1	6.4 ± 0.1	436 ± 28^{a}	781 ± 49 ^a

^aP < 0.01 from other two groups

Bakkaloglu SA, et al 2010, CJASN





Histomorphometry according to turnover and mineralization with corresponding biochemical values

Bone volume: normal 73%, increased 27%

Abnormal mineralization: 48% of all patients 58% of high turnover patients 38% of normal turnover patients

29% of low turnover patients

Turnover	Mineralization	Serum Ca	Serum P	Alk Phosp	PTH
(BFR/BS)	(OV/BV+ OMT)	mg/dl	mg/dl	IU/ml	Pg/ml
Low (n=7)	Normal (5)	9.6 ± 0.4	8.2 ± 0.6	197 ± 26	116 ± 15
	Abnormal (2)	8.1 ± 2.0	8.2 ± 2.2	250 ± 160	282 ± 162
Normal (n=62)	Normal (39)	9.6 ± 0.1	6.0 ± 0.2	198 ± 16	286 ± 38
	Abnormal (23)	8.9 ± 0.2ª	5.9 ± 0.3	243 ± 41	477 ± 68ª
High (n=92)	Normal (39)	9.2 ± 0.2	6.2 ± 0.2	340 ± 31	587 ± 58
	Abnormal (53)	8.8 ± 0.1	6.5 ± 0.2	506 ± 39	924 ± 67ª

^aP < 0.01 from subjects with normal mineralization



Bakkaloglu SA, et al 2010, CJASN



Summary of: TMV classification system in pediatric renal patients

- The TMV classification allowed the identification of mineralization defects that were previously underecognized.
- PTH and ALP may be useful in discriminating patients with normal turnover and defective mineralization from those with normal mineralization
- No single marker provides a complete assessment of ROD, but combination of markers may improve assessment of turnover and mineralization abnormalities in pediatric patients on dialysis.

Bakkaloglu Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 5: 1860–1866, 2010



Relationship between Bone Biomarkers and Bone Histology in End-Stage Kidney Disease

Stuart M. Sprague, Ezequiel Bellorin-Font, Vanda Jorgetti, Aluizio B. Carvalho, Hartmut H. Malluche, Aníbal Ferreira, Patrick C. D'Haese, Tilman B. Drüeke, Hongyan Du, Thomas Manley, Eudocia Rojas, and Sharon M. Moe

This study was supported by unrestricted grants to the National Kidney Foundation (NKF) from Abbott, Amgen, Genzyme, and Shire, and was facilitated by KDIGO and the NKF, as the former managing agent for KDIGO. The funding organizations had no role in the design, conduct, analysis, or interpretation of the study.





Histological interpretation of bone biopsies

Participating laboratories:

- University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY USA (patients from Turkey)
- Federal University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil
- University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil
- University Hospital of Caracas, Caracas, Venezuela

Histomorphometric parameters utilized for analysis of TMV:

- Bone turnover: Bone formation/ bone surface (BF/BS)
- Bone mineralization: Mineralization lag time (MLT), Osteoid thickness
 O.Th
- Bone volume: Bone volume/tissue volume (BV/TV)

Each laboratory utilized their normative data to classify bone parameters in the TMV system.





Serum biochemistries and statistics

- Serum biomarkers were analyzed in a single central laboratory (Nordic Biochemical Research Laboratory, Denmark)
- Intact PTH (iPTH) and Procollagen type I N Propeptide (PINP) were measured by chemiluminescence immunoassay (Roche Elecsys[®] 2010 Analyzer)
- Whole PTH[™] (1-84) (wPTH) was measured by an immunoradiometric assay (Scantibodies Laboratories with the test performed at Nordic.
- BSAP was measured by immunoassay (Quidel)
- All sites used an intact assay, but the assay kit varied, and was not standardized in terms of assays or freeze/thaws (OiPTH)

Statistics: Mean ± SD, Median. Spearman correlation coefficient Logistic regression analysis to derive area under the Receiver operator characteristics (ROC) curve:

AUC>0.7: Very good; >0.8: Excellent; >0.9: Distinguished





Patient characteristics

	Brazil N=156	Portugal N=106	Turkey N=196	Venezuela N=152	Entire Cohort N=610
Age (M±SE)	49±13	50±14	59±13	42±15	51±15
Gender (%Fem)	35	40	51	47	44
Race (%)					
Caucasian					303 (49.7)
Black					38 (6.2)
Asian					11 (1.8)
Hispanic					201 (33.0)
Other		\mathbf{V}			55 (9.0)
Unknown					2 (0.3)
Duration Dialysis	3.5 (0.4-19.5)	5.7 (0.6-19.9)	3.2 (0-21.1)	3.1 (0.2-11.2)	3.7 (0-21.1)
Diabetes (%)	13	1	23	14	14.4
History of Transplant %	7	11	5	15	8.9
Active Vit D therapy	42	44	4	28	27





Correlation of central lab serum iPTH, and wPTH with original iPTH (OiPTH) at the time of the biopsy



Distribution of the histologic findings according to TMV classification







iPTH, wPTH, BSAP and PINP stratified by bone turnover

	Bone Turnover	(BFR/BS)	
	Low M±SE	Normal_M±SE	High M±SE
	(Median)	(Median)	(Median)
iPTH (pg)ml)	180 ± 288	444.4 ± 576.4 ^a	594 ± 609 ^{a,b}
	(69)	(179)	(382)
wPTH (pg/ml)	69 ± 121	202 ± 250ª	226 ± 260 ^a
	(24)	(69)	(106)
BSAP (U/L)	51.4 ± 84.9	143.6± 229.3 ^a	99.0 ± 100.5 ^a
	(28.1)	(59.8)	(63.3)
PINP	475 ± 509	914 ± 2454	828 ± 728 ^{a,b}
	(350)	(482)	(787)

^a Different from Low ^b Different from Normal





AUC of circulating bone markers to predict bone turnover as assessed by BFR/BS

BFR/BS	Blood Marker	Ν	AUC ^a	Best Cut-Off ^a	AUC ^b	Best Cut- Off ^b	AUC°	AUC ^d	
Low N=289	iPTH (pg/ml) wPTH (pg/ml) BSAP (U/L) S-PINP (ng/ml) OiPTH (pg/ml)	280 260 273 280 285	0.653 0.696 0.738 0.569 0.615	103.7 47.1 37.4 434.5 280			0.702	0.726	
Normal N= 120	iPTH (pg/ml) wPTH (pg/ml) BSAP (U/L) S-PINP (ng/ml) OiPTH (pg/ml	 115 105 105 113 116 116 116 116 117 116 117 118 119 119 119 110 111 111 111 112 113 114 115 115 115 116 117 118 119 119 119 110 110 111 111							
High N= 83	iPTH (pg/ml) wPTH (pg/ml) BSAP (U/L) S-PINP (ng/ml) OiPTH (pg/ml	81 75 77 81 81	tc • B di (/	o discrimin SAP impr iscriminate AUC ^{d)}	nate low roved the e low fro	from norma e AUC for w om normal t	al (AUC ^o /PTH to urnover	;)	

erence



^alow vs. normal ^bhigh vs. normal

^ccombine iPTH and BSAP └ ^c ^dcombine wPTH and BSAP



AUC of bone markers to predict low bone turnover from non-low turnover as assessed by BFR/BS

BFR/BS	Blood Marker	N	AUC	Best Cut- Off	AUC ^a	AUC ^b				
Low (N289)	iPTH (pg/ml) wPTH (pg/ml) BSAP (U/L) S-PINP (ng/ml) OiPTH (pg/ml)	280 260 273 280 285	0.701 0.712 0.757 0.650 0.661	103.7 47.1 39.8 497.3 306	0.728 ^a	0.734 ^b				
Non-Low (N= 203)	iPTH (pg/ml) wPTH (pg/ml) BSAP (U/L) S-PINP (ng/ml) OiPTH (pg/ml	196 180 190 197 200	Cutoff value to predict low turnover: <u>iPTH <103.7 pg/ml would have an AUC of</u> 0.701							
^a combined iPTH and BSAP ^b combined wPTH and BSAP			wPTH < 47.1 pg/ml, AUC of 0.712 BSAP < 39.8 UI/L, AUC 0.757 BSAP with either iPTH or wPTH would				ıld			
NEV Draw	CKD-MBD Controvers	ies Conf	slightly improve the sensitivity / specificity of either iPTH or wPTH				ificity			

AUC of bone biomarkers to predict high turnover from non-high turnover as assessed by BFR/BS

AUC: area under the ROC curve

BFR/BS	Blood Marker	Ν	AUC	Best Cut- Off	AUCª	AUC ^b			
Non-High (N=409)	iPTH (pg/ml) wPTH (pg/ml) BSAP (U/L) S-PINP (ng/ml) OiPTH (pg/ml)	395 365 386 396 404	0.724 0.628 0.711 0.743 0.681	242.7 61.5 42.3 622.6 359	0.710	0.638			
High (N= 83)	iPTH (pg/ml) wPTH (pg/ml) BSAP (U/L) S-PINP (ng/ml) OiPTH (pg/ml	81 75 77 81 81	 To predict high bone turnover: iPTH > 242.7 pg/ml, AUC of 0.724 wPTH did not predict high bone turnover BSAP > 42.3 U/L, AUC of 0.711 						
^a c ombined iPTH and BSAP ^b combined wPTH and BSAP			PINP > Combi wPTH specifi	> 622.6 ng/ ning BSAP did not imp city of eithe	ml, AUC of or PINP w prove the ser paramete	0.743 with either sensitivity/ er alone.	iPTH (



Sensitivity and specificity of PTH to predict bone turnover as assessed by BFR/BS

	Sensitivity	Specificity
iPTH<150 pg/ml for low turnover	68.6%	61.2%
iPTH>300 pg/ml for high turnover	58.0%	77.7%
iPTH <2 ULN for low turnover	65%	67.3%
iPTH >9 ULN for high turnover	37%	85.8%
wPTH <2 ULN for low turnover	73.5%	56.7%
wPTH >9 ULN for high turnover	30.7%	87.9%

BFR/BS, bone formation rate iPTH, intact parathyroid hormone wPTH, whole parathyroid hormone ULN, upper limit of normal values







Utility of KDOQI and KDIGO PTH thresholds for diagnostic decision making

		KDOQI*	KDIGO ⁺					
	Sensitivity %	Specificity %	PPV %	NPV %	Sensitivity %	Specificity %	PPV %	NPV %
Differentiating low tunover from non-low turnover bone disease or "When do I stop therapy"	68.5	61.2	71.6	57.7	65.7	65.3	73	57
Diferentiating high turnover from non- high turnover bone disease or "When do I start therapy"	58	77.7	34.8	90	37	85.8	34.9	86.9

NPV, negative predictive value; **PPV**, positive predictive value.

*Using serum iPTH <150 pg/ml for lower and >300 pg/ml for upper threshold

⁺Using serum iPTH <130 pg/ml for lower and >585 pg/ml for upper threshold (2X and 9X ULN limit of normal for assay)



Summary

- The present and other recent studies support the use of the TMV system to evaluate ROD
- There was excellent correlation between iPTH and wPTH
- None of the markers achieved acceptable minimal criteria (AUC >0.7) to discriminate low from normal or high from normal BFR/BS
- In contrast, the ability to discriminate low from non-low BFR/BF was achieved with iPTH, wPTH, BSAP, with AUC curve >0.7
- ROC based discrimination in differentiating low from non-low and high from non-high turnover was significant, but the clinical utility of these markers requires further prospective studies
- The identification of new biomarkers with higher discriminatory ability may help to improve diagnosis of each type of ROD











