KDIGO Guidelines Development: Strengths and Challenges

KDIGO Controversies Conference Clinical Practice Guidelines: Methodology October 12, 2007

Joseph Lau, MD
Tufts-New England Medical Center

Selection of guideline committee/ workgroup members

- 12 15 workgroup members; 2 co-chairs
- Workgroup co-chairs identify potential workgroup members with input from KDIGO co-chairs
- Multidisciplinary workgroup members
- International representation

Evidence Review Team

- Tufts-New England Medical Center (Boston)
- Co-director (EBM) Joseph Lau
- Co-director (Nephrology) Katrin Uhlig
- Associate director (EBM) Ethan Balk
- NKF fellows (2)
- Research associate
- Research assistants
- Contributing international methodologists
 - Jonathan Craig (Australia)
 - John Ioannidis (Greece)
 - Jin Ling Tang (Hong Kong)

Selection and prioritization of guideline topics

- Before first workgroup meeting, workgroup co-chairs draft preliminary scope of work
- ERT conducts preliminary literature search
- A series of teleconferences of workgroup cochairs with ERT to create draft key questions and review criteria
- Topics, key questions, and review criteria discussed and refined at workgroup meetings and teleconferences

Origin of KDIGO methods

- KDIGO uses proven KDOQI methodologies (evolving)
- KDOQI methodologies have been used since 2000, have resulted in 6 KDOQI guidelines and 3 updates
- Supported by the ERT at Tufts-NEMC

Abridged KDIGO guidelines development method

- Follows well-defined and rigorous methods
- Four 1.5 day meetings over 2 years
- Conflict of interest management
- Training of workgroup members in EB methods / CPG development (CME offered)
- Initial key questions formulation
- Literature review
- Summary and grading of evidence
- Drafting guidelines statements
- Grading of recommendations
- Advisory board feedback
- Public review

Dissemination and Implementation

- Published as Kidney International Supplement
- Guidelines are designed to be adopted by different countries according to local needs

Strengths and Challenges of KDIGO

Strengths

- 1. Best experts in the field (know the issues, involved in research)
- 2. Multidisciplinary
- 3. International representation
- Stable dedicated ERT (efficiency, reproducibility, institutional memory)
- 5. Rigorous methods, training of WG members and fellows
- 6. Explicit grading of evidence and recommendations
- 7. Well funded projects

Challenges

- 1. Experts hold strong opinions, industry funding, perceived COI
- 2. Difficult to achieve a balanced representation of all relevant disciplines
- 3. Difficult to have 10-15 people represent the world, communications across many time zones a challenge
- 4. Stable dedicated ERT (stale)
- 5. Resource intensive, takes a lot of time, foreign terminologies
- 6. Grading of evidence and recommendations an evolving field
- 7. Choice of guidelines topics dictated by funding source

Current KDIGO Topics

- Hepatitis C (in press)
- Mineral and Bone Disease (3/4 way)
- Kidney Transplantation (1/2 way)

Future Outlook

- Grading of evidence and recommendations is evolving
- Debate on allowable evidence for guidelines
- Novel attempt, we currently know very little about the feasibility or the success of translation or adoption of guidelines across different countries