Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) is an international organization whose mission is to improve the care and outcomes of kidney disease patients worldwide by promoting coordination, collaboration, and integration of initiatives to develop and implement clinical practice guidelines. Periodically, KDIGO hosts conferences on topics of importance to patients with kidney disease. These conferences are designed to review the state of the art on a focused subject and to ask conference participants to determine what needs to be done in this area to improve patient care and outcomes. Sometimes the recommendations from these conferences lead to KDIGO guideline updating efforts and other times they highlight areas for which additional research is needed.

BACKGROUND

One of the primary objectives of KDIGO is the improvement of care for patients with acute kidney injury (AKI). In 2012, KDIGO published a guideline on the classification and management of AKI. (1) The guideline was derived from evidence available until February 2011. As part of the process, important gaps in knowledge were also identified and recommendations for future research were proposed.

Since then, new evidence has emerged which has important implications for clinical practice and should be considered for integration into the guideline. New insight related to the epidemiology and risk profile of AKI is available from large observational international studies in adults and children, such as the AKI-Epi study (2), the ‘zero by 25 campaign’ (3) and the AWARE study (4). The effectiveness of KDIGO recommendations to prevent AKI has been confirmed in new randomized controlled trials, e.g., the PrevAKI
(5) and BigpAKI (6) studies. In addition, results of randomized controlled trials have provided new data relevant to the prevention and management of AKI, including early resuscitation, fluid therapy, prevention of contrast-associated AKI and timing of acute renal replacement therapy (RRT) (7-12). Finally, there has been important progress in the development of new tools to diagnose and manage AKI, including biomarkers, decision support programs, and electronic alerts which warrant consideration for inclusion in the guideline (2, 3, 13-17).

Therefore, KDIGO will convene a Controversies Conference to examine this new evidence as it relates to the diagnosis and management of patients with AKI. The goal is to inform not only the scope of the future AKI guideline update but also to explore new and ongoing areas of controversy.

CONFERENCE OVERVIEW

The objective of this KDIGO conference is to gather a global panel of multidisciplinary clinical and scientific expertise (i.e., nephrology, critical care, cardiology, radiology, pediatrics, infectious diseases, pharmacology, etc.) to identify key issues relevant to the optimal management of AKI. The goal of this KDIGO conference is to determine best practice and areas of uncertainties in the treatment of AKI, review key relevant literature published since the 2012 KDIGO AKI Guideline, address ongoing controversial issues, identify new topics or issues to be revisited for the next iteration of the KDIGO AKI guideline and outline research needed to improve AKI management.

Drs. John A. Kellum (University of Pittsburgh, USA) and Marlies Ostermann (Guy's & St Thomas NHS Foundation Hospital, UK) will co-chair this conference. The format of the conference will involve topical plenary session presentations followed by focused discussion groups that will report back to the full group for consensus building. Invited participants and speakers will include worldwide leading experts who will address key clinical issues as outlined in the Appendix: Scope of Coverage. The conference output will include publication of a position statement that will help guide KDIGO and others on therapeutic management and future research.
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APPENDIX: SCOPE OF COVERAGE

Breakout Group 1: Nomenclature & Diagnostic Criteria

1. Is sufficient evidence now available to warrant a change in the definitions/classification/staging system for AKI?
   a. AKI/AKD/CKD continuum
   b. Persistence (vs transient, vs relapsing?)
   c. Role of etiology/setting
   d. Urine output vs Creatinine
   e. Role for real time or kinetic GFR
   f. Biomarkers, biopsy, imaging
   g. Fluid balance
   h. Community vs. hospital-acquired (manifest?)
   i. Children vs adults

2. How should existing (or new) definitions of AKI be implemented at the bedside, in research?
   a. How should baseline kidney function be determined? (baseline creatinine, renal reserve, imaging)
   b. How should urine output be evaluated (body composition? fluid balance? setting/context?)
   c. Testing beyond serum creatinine/urine output
   d. How should kidney function/injury/stress? be monitored?

3. What is the best way to define renal recovery?
   a. AKI vs AKD?
   b. Complete vs partial
   c. Subclinical: Renal reserve? Biomarkers
Breakout Group 2: Risk Stratification

1. What are the roles for risk-stratification of patients for AKI?
   a. Which patients? When?
   b. Clinical models
   c. Biomarkers
   d. Renal angina index (RAI)
   e. Renal functional reserve
   f. Application to mitigate risk

2. Once AKI is diagnosed, what is the role for prognostication?
   a. Endpoints?
   b. Clinical models
   c. Biomarkers, biopsy, imaging
   d. Urine creatinine excretion, urine chemistries, sediment
   e. Furosemide stress test
   f. Renal functional reserve

3. How should patients be followed after AKI?
   a. Which patients should be followed up?
   b. Which parameters should be monitored?
   c. Short-term vs long-term
   d. Role for biomarkers, renal functional reserve
Breakout Group 3: Fluid Management

1. What are the indications for fluid administration in patients with or at risk for AKI? 
   a. How should patients be selected for fluid therapy? 
   b. What are the endpoints/contraindications to fluid administration? 
   c. Do differing clinical contexts & etiologies of AKI affect fluid management? 
   d. Does the timing (early vs established) of AKI alter management?

2. How should fluids be given in patients at risk for/with AKI? 
   a. How much fluid constitutes a fluid challenge/ initial fluid bolus in the setting of various stages of kidney dysfunction? 
   b. Bolus therapy vs continuous infusions 
   c. How should response to fluid be evaluated and monitored?

3. How does the composition of various intravenous fluid preparations affect kidney function or influence outcomes in patients with AKI? 
   a. Electrolytes 
   b. Tonicity, colloids/crystalloids 
   c. Non-hemodynamic (drug-like) effects of fluids (on the kidney)

4. What are the indications/contraindications for fluid removal in patients with or at risk for AKI and how should fluid removal be affected in such patients? 
   a. To what extent is fluid overload causative of organ injury including persistent kidney dysfunction compared to being merely a marker of illness severity? 
   b. What are the risks of fluid removal? 
   c. In what circumstances are extracorporeal therapies preferred over diuretics? 
   d. How do we determine rate of fluid removal (tolerability) and eventual goal for fluid removal (quantification of fluid overload)?
Breakout Group 4: Nephrotoxins

1. In light of current evidence what can be recommended for prevention and management of contrast-associated AKI?
   a. Does it exist? Relative impact?
   b. Prevention strategies—results of PRESERVE trial

2. Is there sufficient evidence to classify potential nephrotoxins in a clinical useful way?
   b. Variation of toxicity dependent on susceptibility (age, clinical context?)
   c. Drug combinations
   d. Consequences of drug-associated AKI vs other causes?
   e. Contribution of nephrotoxins to other causes?

3. What prevention strategies should be applied for drug-associated AKI?
   a. Hypervigilance, early detection
   b. Clinical management (including fluids)
   c. Role of biomarkers
   d. Drug stewardship
   e. Computer decision tools

4. How should potentially nephrotoxic drugs be managed during AKI (including during RRT) and following an episode of AKI?
   a. (Re-)initiation of medication that is potentially nephrotoxic following an episode of AKI? (chronic medications vs new medications)
   b. Follow up after an episode of drug-associated AKI? (during hospitalization; after discharge; up to 90 days)
Breakout Group 5: Renal Replacement Therapy

1. What criteria should be used to initiate RRT in patients with AKI?
   a. Severity/duration?
   b. Demand/capacity balance? (note some overlap with group 2)
   c. Risk for complications?
   d. Potential for recovery? (note some overlap with group 1)
   e. ICU vs outside the ICU?
   f. Resource-limited environments
   g. Non-renal factors (e.g., sepsis)

2. What is the optimal strategy (including modality) for providing acute RRT?
   a. Patient factors (hemodynamics, fluid balance, brain injury/edema)
   b. Etiology of AKI
   c. Clinical setting (ICU vs outside the ICU; resource-limited environment)
   d. Dose (including over time)
   e. Anticoagulation
   f. Nutrition management
   g. Discontinuation and modality transition

3. How should RRT be combined with extracorporeal respiratory and cardiac support (e.g., ECMO, ECCO₂R)?
   a. Indications
   b. Technique and monitoring?

4. How do RRT decisions affect long-term outcomes and how should patients be followed?
   a. Effects on renal recovery?
   b. Approach to follow-up and timing